Approved For Release 1999/09/01 : CIA-RDP79-00317A000100030040-3

AGENDA

Career Service Panel

6 March 1967

0900 hours Room 607 - Conference Room

1. Review of minutes of 6 February 1967.

25X1A9a

2. Promotion Actions.

25X1A9a

Mid-Career Course Candidates.

4. Discussion on Release of ORD Career Service Panel Procedural Information to the Staff.

25X1A9a

5. Competitive Evaluation of GS-12s.

6. Initiate promotion action on from GS-12 to GS-13.


25X1A9a

7. CSP Actions on Quality Step Increases.

8. CSP Meeting Date for April.

Approved For Release 1999/09/01 17:14-RDP79-00317A000100030040-3

CAREER SERVICE PANEL Minutes of the Meeting 6 March 1967



Approved For Release 1999 100 1 RDP79-00317A000100030040-3

6. (Continued)

The Chairman stated that these QSI requests can be submitted at any time. He asked that these requests be submitted in the form of a memorandum written to the D/ORD with an approval line for the D/ORD signature at the bottom of the memorandum. This memorandum should then be routed through the AO/ORD and DD/ORD who will present it for review to the CSP. The approval or disapproval of the CSP will be noted on the pink buck slip attached to the memorandum and forwarded to the D/ORD.

25X1A9a

7. The CSP was informed by the Chairman that had been approved by the D/ORD for a Quality Step Increase.

25X1A9a

- action form used in the past would no longer be needed. He asked all Division Chiefs to prepare a memorandum to D/ORD recommending promotions, whether they are professional or secretarial, with an approval line on the bottom for Mr. Chapman's signature. These promotion actions should be routed through the AO/ORD, who in turn will see that these requests are routed through DD/ORD and D/ORD. In the case of secretarial promotions, these requests will be forwarded to the Special Administrative Panel.
- 9. The Chairman reported that the next CSP meeting would be scheduled for 3 April 1967. He stated that he would be unable to attend and asked to chair this meeting.
 - 10. Competitive Evaluation of GS-12s is as follows:

	NAME	DIVISION RATING	REVIEWER'S RATING	CSP RATING
		В	В	В
		A	В	В
		В	С	В
3		A	C	В
		В	A	A
		В	В	В
		В	В	В
		В	В	В

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

by the Chairman, CSP to the effect that when he is asked to break a tie he will go along with the Division rating or opinion. Motion seconded by Parallel Motion passed.

25X1A9a 25X1A9a

12. Promotion action on was then discussed. The Chairman explained that this promotion was out of the normal time but stated that when hired for ORD, had been promised a promotion soon after he came into ORD. This was done with the concurrence of the front office.



Approved For Release 19930911 CIA-RDP79-00317A000100030040-3

12. (Continued)

25X1A9a

There was discussion as to whether a Fitness Report should be written. Stated that in this particular case he felt that ORD should write up a Fitness Report covering the time from 1 November through 17 March 1967.

In the case where a Division Chief was recommending a man for a promotion and he did have a current Fitness Report but it was his desire to upgrade or update the previous Fitness Report, there is no regulation against doing so.

25X1A9a 25X1A9a 25X1A9a

for promotion from GS-12 to GS-13. Motion was seconded by I Vote unanimous. 25X1A9a

- and reviewing on Fitness Reports for secretaries. The Chairman stated that he and Mr. Chapman felt that they were not in a position to review these reports. They felt that the secretaries should be rated and reviewed within the Division itself. It was requested that the Deputy Chief of the Division rate the secretaries and the Division Chief review. If a Deputy Chief has not been designated within a Division, the Division Chief should designate the individual he wishes to rate the secretaries.
 - 14: Meeting adjourned at 1630 hours.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

Executive Secretary, CSP

Chairman

CECNET